



Teaching for Excellence and Equity : A Review

Dr Poonam Singh, Principal
MDS college of education Kosli, Rewari

Abstract

However, although it has become customary to say that “high-quality teachers” are better are critical to “student learning”, there is no consensus on the most effective ways to improve teacher effectiveness, which has significant implications for policymakers and academics alike. Through various initiatives, education reformers have worked to increase both the size and quality of the “teacher labour force and the quality of instructional” materials are important during the past few decades, producing an enormous amount of scholarly work as a result. However, the correlations “between teacher effectiveness and student outcomes” are not very strong, whether measured in terms of mean performance or equality, are inconclusive, which has led to severe concerns regarding the most effective strategy for accomplishing policy objectives. Uncertainty in the research literature has made it hard for policymakers to figure out the best way to change the healthcare system.

Key Words: Teaching, effectiveness, achievement, Behaviours

Introduction

Scientists are unanimous in their belief that instructors are among “the most important school resources” for influencing kids' future academic progress and life outcomes are those that are at school. A major focus has been placed on increasing “teacher effectiveness” as a way of boosting student learning as a result of this. Teacher effectiveness is assessed in terms of development in student learning, which is commonly done via student performance on standardised tests. “Acc. to student growth percentiles (SGPs) and value-added measures (VAMs), students taught by highly effective teachers were more likely to attend college, earn more, live in higher-income neighbourhoods, save more money for retirement”, and had fewer children during their adolescent years than students taught by ineffective teachers. Because of the potential for a highly successful teacher to greatly improve the lives of their pupils, it is critical for researchers and policymakers to get a thorough understanding of the aspects that contribute to a teacher's presentation in the schoolroom. The findings of research on the links between certain teacher traits and student accomplishment, as we shall address in further depth later in this study, have been varied.

Student Learning and the Behaviours of the Teachers Students who do well are more likely to do well if teachers spend a lot of time teaching and if they use instructional content in their



lessons. This is truer than if teachers have a lot of experience, are well-educated, and have the right skills to teach. There are governments all over the country that agree that instructional content is important. They've used it to push for “standards-based reform in an effort” to help students succeed, like the Common Core Standards in the "United States (Common Core Standards Initiative)." The researchers found that there was very “little research on whether teacher instructional content that was aligned with national standards” helped students learn. The one study that they could find “found that such alignment had only very weak connections with student math scores. Student-reported data suggests that instructional time” (the amount of time spent in class on a given topic) may be linked to how well a student does in math.

Teacher Experience

In the context of teaching, educator knowledge refers to the amount of years a teacher has spent in the classroom. A large number of studies have shown a beneficial association between teacher experiences and students' academic performance. For example, researchers in North Carolina studied data from 4000 instructors and found that teachers who had a lot of experience were better at both reading and math. Student success was shown to be most strongly correlated with teacher experience among students at the secondary school level. Other research, on the other hand, hasn't found any consistent or statistically significant connections between how much experience a teacher has and how well students do at school. Teacher experience appears to be more important in the first few years of a person's job. “When comparing teacher effectiveness, which is called value-added, to student test scores in reading and math”, teachers with more experience in the classroom were better at teaching math. However, these positive relationships stopped after two years of teaching experience.

Teacher Behaviours and Opportunity to Learn

Students who do well are more likely to do well if teachers spend a lot of time teaching and if they use instructional content in their lessons. This is truer than if teachers have a lot of experience, are well-educated, and have the right skills to teach. Researchers found that there is a strong connection between OTL and math skills in 62 different school systems. The researchers found that there was very little evidence about whether teachers used instructional materials that were linked to national standards to help students learn. The only study they could find showed that this alignment had only very small effects on student math results.

Classroom teacher effectiveness



Classroom teacher effectiveness universals are being questioned because of scepticism about certain types of methodological puritanism, which is why. This is called "the art of positivist classroom teacher effectiveness research." It is a way to look at how teachers teach and how that affects how well students learn and how well they do in school. Using a well-planned and well-structured "natural mechanism" of positivist classroom teacher effectiveness research, we can say that the school classroom is the site of verification. This "standard of truth" helps us make sense of what we're seeing, which leads to and makes "generalisations about teaching" practises. Using this method, one can "falsify" and "verify" certain teaching methods based on what one thinks "is the best way to help students learn. Based" on or following a "pre-determined and tested/modelled truth, one could say that classroom teacher effectiveness research chooses and labels a good, competent, or effective" teacher based on or following that truth.

Conclusion

"Researchers agree that teachers are one of the most important school resources" for determining how well students do in school and how well they do in other areas of their lives. At the same time, they haven't been able to figure out what teacher traits make for a good teacher. This article looks at a lot of different ways that people have tried to figure out what makes a good teacher, like how much experience they have, how well they know their field, and how much time they have to learn. "Each of these ideas has a number of different dimensions" and ways of putting them into practise. Single-country research is different from truly comparative work.

References

1. Aaronson, D., Barrow, L., & Sander, W. (2007). Teachers and student achievement in the Chicago public high schools. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 25(1), 95–135.
2. Akiba, M., LeTendre, G., & Scribner, J. (2007). Teacher quality, opportunity gap, and national achievement in 46 countries. *Educational Researcher*, 36(7), 369–387.
3. Baumert, J., Kunter, M., Blum, W., Brunner, M., Voss, T., Jordan, A., et al. (2010). Teachers' mathematical knowledge, cognitive activation in the classroom, and student progress. *American Educational Research Journal*, 47(1), 133–180.
4. Blank, R. K., & De Las Alas, N. (2009). The effects of teacher professional development on gains in student achievement: How meta analysis provides scientific evidence useful to education leaders. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.



5. Blazar, D. (2015). Effective teaching in elementary mathematics: Identifying classroom practices that support student achievement. *Economics of Education Review*, 48, 16–29.
6. Blomeke, S., Olsen, R., & Suhl, U. (2016). Relation of student achievement to the quality of their teachers and instructional quality. In T. Nilson & J. Gustafsson (Eds.), *Teacher quality, instructional quality and student outcomes*. IEA Research for Education (Vol. 2, pp. 21–50). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
7. Boyd, D., Grossman, P., Lankford, H., & Loeb, S. (2006). How changes in entry requirements alter the teacher workforce and affect student achievement. *Education Finance and Policy*, 1(2), 176–216.
8. Boyd, D. J., Grossman, P. L., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2009). Teacher preparation and student achievement. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 31(4), 416–440.
9. Cattaneo, M., Oggenfuss, C., & Wolter, S. (2016). The more, the better? The impact of instructional time on student performance. Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) Discussion Paper No. 9797. Bonn, Germany: Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit/Institute for the Study of Labor.
10. Chetty, R., Friedman, J. N., & Rockoff, J. E. (2014). Measuring the impacts of teachers II: Teacher value-added and student outcomes in adulthood. *American Economic*