© INNOVATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS
Refereed | Peer Reviewed | Indexed
ISSN : 2454 – 308X | Volume : 08 , Issue : 03 | July - September 2022

A study of Ecological crisis in the world's history

Dr Kuldeep Singh

Associate Professor of Geography , Govt. College Hisar
Mail: *kuldeep.litani@gmail.com*

Abstract: This age has been dubbed the Anthropocene and, more importantly, Humanity's relationship to the natural world has emerged as the dominating notion. Advocating the Anthropocene is seen by some as a "answer formulation," an analytical tool that helps us understand how our actions have affected the environment, as well as a conceptual framework from which we can launch mitigation and adaptation strategies that promise to alleviate or at least engage in ecological crises. To be clear, the Anthropocene is not a neutral notion that simply highlights the linkages between human activity and the natural world; rather, it is a lens through which we might see our place in the universe. Anthropocene studies are becoming increasingly important, as are the questions they raise about human impact on nature and the environment, as well as the long-term consequences of operating within this imaginary. This is especially true as the term "Anthropocene" gains currency in academic and political circles. As a political/analytical prism, the Anthropocene rests on flawed conceptions of nature, history and humanity that render it an ineffective construct from which to respond to ecological crises; it offers only partial and presumptive "solutions" in the form of increased governmental regulation and the application of manifold technological "fixes" through the geoengineering of Earth's systems in an attempt to address isolated aspects of ecological destruction.

Keywords: Anthropocene; nature; capitalism; ecological crisis; geoengineering; planetary boundaries; climate change; extinction; environmental crisis

Introduction

Geopolitics is primarily concerned with human-environment systems, which is to say the planet as a home for humans. We've made it a priority to look at how humans interact with the natural world. There has been a gradual shift away from the premise that'relationships' can characterise any'science' in recent years, as geographers have increasingly resorted to terms like spatial interaction, locational analysis, landscape perception, and a host of others in their search for a safe academic design. An eco-hero appears out of nowhere, screaming to an almost-deafening crowd that humankind's connection with environment is certainly the most pressing problem of our day, critical to our very existence. Galahad is clad in glittering armour, his banner

inscribed with the word ecology. There is a seismic shift taking place in the public consciousness about the interconnectedness of all life on Earth, the fragility and susceptibility of our planet, and the reality that humans are, in fact, its primary ecological influencer. Now it's up to "science" to adjust to this new wave of popular interest. Ecological quality and ecological balance have become the most important scholarly and political issues, and the most popular political and social demands. Geographically, the possibilities seem to be limitless. It seems that a shift to an ecological perspective might bridge the gap between physical and human geography and, perhaps, elevate our field's standing and acceptability.

The caravan has, on the other hand, kept us occupied with other matters, so much so that we've frequently seemed to be stranded in the roadside dust. At times, what has been referred to as "the introspective detachment of geographers from other types of inquiry" seems to have rendered us nearly indifferent to the churning currents under our feet. Now, although we are rediscovering mathematical and theoretical geography, we are also re-discovering the environment, which was originally envisioned as the study matter of geography, and we are going more toward the library. People who are most worried about the future of humanity seem to be flocking to ecology at the same time as our colleagues appear to be heading in a different direction. Only a small minority of geographers have paid attention to the pressing issues of resource depletion and environmental degradation that have arisen as a result of enormous population growth and economic development in Latin America.

There has been a technological boom. Even though environmental determinism has been rejected, many previous efforts to examine man as an integral component of the natural world have been wrongly connected with this idea in the past. Because of this, an unprecedented potential for geography has seemed to be wasted. While Zelinsky enjoys fantasising about his fellow geographers "heroically marching into a gap that looks providentially prepared for them, I know better" There is yet opportunity for us to do something, particularly in Latin America, where the heavy heel of modernity has yet to fall with its full weight. This crucial discipline has been dominated so far by biologists, those who specialise in species and groups other than humans, but as time goes on disciplinary borders are rapidly eroding. Humans tend to be left out of "pure" and highly regarded forms of biological ecology, which simplifies things significantly. Rather of focusing on the physical environment, sociologists have focused on the social environment as their focus. Geographic or landscape ecology, on the other hand,

considers landscapes to be the historical result of the interactions between species and their environment. Here, man is seen as an intrinsic part of nature and a challenge and a constraint to it, as well as a source of inspiration. A geographer's comfort zone is here, and here is where people's attention is shifting. Ecological thinking is a concept that has been around for a long time, and it's one that we've come to understand and appreciate. It's a unique human occupation of the planet, one that recognises the delicate balance of nature that so conditions them. Cartographic modelling of geographical space may be done in a variety of methods, and it can be used for a variety of purposes. Cartographic, photogrammetric and geographic information system (GIS) research recommends employing orthophoto maps to model space and build digital libraries of reference data. Increasingly, 3D approaches are being used in the creation of digital terrain models, for example, to construct three-dimensional representations of buildings following the CityGML standards (range from LoD1 to LoD4). Topographic or social information may be used for a variety of reasons, such as cartographic visualisation in geoportals or GIS analysis. Electronic games, on the other hand, seldom incorporate reference data in their development. The major reasons for this are the difficulty of importing the games and the fact that most games are set in entirely made-up universes. The reality is that gaming engines can produce aesthetically attractive real-world models that allow virtual exploration of new areas, research of those places, or predictions about the future use of space. They can do all of these things.

Smart cities and their "digital twins" may benefit from the use of game engines to generate virtual representations of the physical environment. The game's toolset includes techniques for digitally controlling the growth of the metropolis.

One of the objectives of a serious game is to create an environment conducive to open discourse and discussion in order to shape a civic society that makes effective use of modern information and communication technology. People in Poland tend to have close relationships with their family and friends, or even with their neighbours. Since the mid-1990s, the CBOS (Public Opinion Research Center) has been doing research on social and neighbourly connections. There are differences in these kinds of interactions, according to the findings, even if the process of change is sluggish. Fewer individuals now claim to keep in touch with friends and family and perform little favours for them than there were a decade earlier. Slowly but surely,

people's faith in industry and municipal government has grown. Co-occurring economic or environmental issues exacerbate trust issues. It may be possible to tackle this problem by creating a virtual environment and setting rules for the game that encourage collaboration and teamwork. To highlight the need for change, a serious game like this is a way of drawing attention to actual demands or expectations. That's despite what J. Huizinga said in his seminal work, "everyday existence." As the game progresses, participants realise that only by working together will they be able to attain the objectives of their own interest groups and of the larger, strategic and tactical aim. We can learn a lot about how people feel about public life by looking at how other people have felt about it historically. There may already be a "comfortable" weight of past experience in the relationship between local government officials and business owners. We (Polish society) and "them" (those outside of Polish society) may be justified by Polish history (representatives of the "enemy", for example, the invader, the occupant, the imposed order). Mutual trust is a prerequisite for cooperation (joining forces to achieve a shared objective). There is a considerable change from procedural, formal-legal administration to partnership management in the notions of new public culture and local government.

Review of literature

(Case et al. 2015) studied “Rethinking environmental leadership: the social construction of leaders and leadership in discourses of ecological crisis, development and conservation Peter” discovered this and The 'crisis of governance' that Earth's natural systems are now experiencing is being hailed as a victory for leadership. While leadership discourses in politics, business, and the corporate sector have all been extensively and critically examined, environmental leadership narratives have been largely overlooked. This paper has two objectives. We must first emphasise the significance of environmental science in creating and mobilising a vocabulary of leadership. Twofold: To examine how leadership theory and notions are used by researchers in environmental science. An examination of leadership research in environmental science provides the foundation for this paper, which builds on the findings of a previous review. Research on environmental leadership is said to show a skewed view of what constitutes leadership.

(Parsons 1971) studied “Ecological Problems and Approaches in Latin American Geography We” discovered this and Geopolitics is primarily concerned with human-environment systems, which is to say the planet as a home for humans. We've made it a priority to look at how humans interact with the natural world. There has been a gradual shift away from the premise

that'relationships' can characterise any'science' in recent years, as geographers have increasingly resorted to terms like spatial interaction, locational analysis, landscape perception, and a host of others in their search for a safe academic design. An eco-hero appears out of nowhere, screaming to an almost-deafening crowd that humankind's connection with environment is certainly the most pressing problem of our day, critical to our very existence. Galahad is clad in glittering armour, his banner inscribed with the word ecology. Currently, we are seeing an awakening among the general population to the reality that we are all connected to the Earth, and that the Earth is fragile and vulnerable. Now it's up to "science" to adjust to this new wave of popular interest. Ecological quality and ecological balance have become the most important scholarly and political issues, and the most popular political and social demands. For geography, it would seem that there are endless possibilities.

Conclusion

Since the beginning of this research, we've been trying to discover how environmental leadership is conceptualised and depicted in diverse environmental science texts. Therefore, in quantitative comparison papers, qualitative case studies, and synthesising and reviewing articles, we have taken into account the various constructions of leadership. As a political ecology lens, we exploited the topic of integrated conservation and development to expose important constraints of leadership constructs in environmental science research. Our results are summarised and compared to more advanced environmental leadership studies in this closing portion of the paper. Research on environmental leadership may benefit from fresh perspectives on environmental crisis and political ecology, according to our conclusion in this paper. According to our review of environmental science literature, leadership studies in this discipline place a focus on the characteristics and abilities of specific leaders or leadership roles. Many quantitative analyses simply account for the existence or absence of leaders, but many review and case-study publications also adopt a rather restricted view of leadership as a person or position. This emphasis on the person and his or her abilities harkens back to traditional ideas about leadership found in the fields of management and organisational studies. Although these concepts have a long history, they are nevertheless strongly connected with Western values of personal and corporate success.

References

1. Adams, W, M., Brockington, D., Dyson, J., and Vira, B. (2003). Managing tragedies: understanding conflict over common pool resources. Science 302 (5652): 1915-1916

2. Adger WN, Benjaminsen TA, Brown K, Svarstad H (2001) Advancing a political ecology of global environmental discourses. *Development and Change* 32: 681-715.
3. Agyenim JB, and Gupta J (2012) IWRM and developing countries: Implementation challenges in Ghana. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 47-48: 46-57
4. Banerjee, SB (2003) Who sustains whose development? Sustainable development and the reinvention of nature. *Organization Studies* 24 (1): 143–180.
5. Banerjee SB (2004) Reinventing colonialism: Exploring the myth of sustainable development. *Situation Analysis* 4 (Autumn): 95-110.
6. Banerjee SB and Linstead S (2001) Globalization, multiculturalism and other fictions: Colonialism for the new millennium? *Organization* 8 (4): 711-750.
7. Banerjee SB and Linstead S (2004) Masking subversion: Neo-colonial embeddedness in anthropological accounts of indigenous management. *Human Relations* 57(2): 221-258.
8. Banks, S, A., and Skilleter, G, A., (2010). Implementing marine reserve networks: A comparison of approaches in New South Wales (Australia) and New Zealand. *Marine Policy* 34: 197-20